



Ratings and CECL in Times of Stress

Incorporating market conditions into commercial loan risk ratings and the allowance process

Jan Larsen, Sr. Director, Advisory Services Emil Lopez, Sr. Director, Impairment Solutions

August 2020

Introductions



Jan Larsen Sr. Director- Advisory Services

- » Jan has worked at Moody's since 2011, and currently leads the Risk and Finance Solutions Advisory Practice for the Americas.
- » Jan's team advises financial institutions and corporates throughout the Americas on a wide range of credit-relevant topics. His team has delivered risk rating solutions to dozens of banks throughout North America.
- » Prior to Moody's, Jan was at NERA Economic Consulting, an Oliver Wyman company, for over seven years.
- » Jan has an MS in Physics, is a CFA Charter holder, and holds the PRM designation.



Emil Lopez Sr. Director- Sr. Strategist

- » Emil spent over 8 years leading implementation of impairment accounting solutions (IFRS 9/CECL) and risk modeling advisory engagements for financial institutions.
- » Prior to this, Mr. Lopez oversaw operations for Moody's Analytics Credit Research Database, one of the world's largest private firm credit risk data repositories. He has extensive experience in credit impairment accounting, credit risk modeling and reporting, data sourcing, and quality control.
 - Mr. Lopez has an MBA from New York University and a BS in finance and business administration from the University of Vermont.

Agenda

- 1. Internal Risk Rating: Best Practices
- 2. Leveraging Risk Ratings in CECL Process
- 3. Am I Double Counting?



Internal Risk Ratings: Best Practices

Typical evolution of risk rating systems

Banks tend to move towards more granular pass ratings, as well as separation of borrower and facility risk, over time

Basic Single Risk Ratings

4-5 pass grades, 8-10 total

- » Grades largely judgment-based
- » Typically large concentrations in 1-2 grades (e.g., '4' and '5')
- » Most common among banks <\$7bn in assets</p>
- » Grades typically reflect both borrower and collateral characteristics

Granular Risk Ratings

8-10 pass grades, 12-15 total

- » Grades partly based on models
- » Rating scale designed to avoid concentrations
- » Common first step for banks moving towards dual risk ratings
- » Grades typically are based on borrower factors, collateral is addressed through haircut tables

Dual Risk Ratings

Separate borrower/facility ratings

- » Grades partly based on models
- » Borrower scale designed to avoid concentrations
- » Nearly universal among banks >\$25bn, increasingly common \$7bn - \$25bn
- » Delivers most granular picture of risk

Enhanced granularity of pass grades delivers business value

- » Increased granularity of pass grades allows the bank to:
 - Focus on originating loans with the strongest pass credits
 - Price in the risk of the weakest pass credits
 - Detect credit deterioration to prior to loans hitting Watch or Non-Pass
- » Provides enhanced flexibility to underwrite loans with
 - Weak obligors but strong collateral (e.g., ABL)
 - Strong obligors but weak collateral
- » Enables executive leadership to understand the performance of different business lines
 - Is a 5% yield on the CRE portfolio really better than 4.5% on the C&I portfolio after accounting for risk?

Enhanced risk ratings also have regulatory and accounting value

» DRR is:

- Nearly universal among commercial banks >\$25 billion in assets
- Increasingly becoming an industry standard/regulatory expectation among banks approaching or above \$10 billion in assets
- » For banks with ambitious growth aspirations, moving towards DRR today can remove regulatory roadblocks to acquisitions in the near-to-medium term future
- » More granular risk ratings lend enhanced accuracy to the inputs to the CECL allowance process, leading to a more rigorous reserve estimate that can often benefit from lower buffers to account for uncertainty

Well-designed rating scales provide granularity and differentiation

50% of Obligors 40% 30% Percent 20% 10% 0% 2 3 5 7 1 4 6 OAEM Substandard Watch Legacy Rating

Distribution of Legacy Ratings





Dual Risk Rating: Bifurcation of Credit Risk Industry Leading Practice



PD and LGD capture different risk dynamics

PD Ratings

- Drivers include:
 - > Financial statement items
 - > Qualitative factors (management quality, industry conditions, etc.)
- PD is a more dynamic credit risk measurement
 - High PDs can be over 100x low PDs (typically ranges from ~10 bps – ~10%)

LGD Ratings

- Drivers include:
- > Amount & quality of collateral
- > Type of collateral (value volatility)
- > Obligation seniority
- > Jurisdiction
- Typically ranges from ~20% ~80%
 - Weak loans can have 4x as much LGD as strong loans

Single Risk Rating Drawbacks

- Single risk ratings can penalize safer borrowers with riskier facilities
 - > Allow a high LGD to overwhelm a lower PD, resulting in inconsistent pricing for clients
 - > Risk drivers are entangled, allow less transparency into credit risk and allowance drivers

DRR leads to a highly granular, two-dimensional rating scale

		P	D Mappin	a												
	Risk Rating	Fitted PD	Min PD	Max PD							Ratir	ng	MDPT		LB	UP
ľ	1 Pass	0.06%	0.00%	0.08%							A		2.5%		0.0%	5.0%
E	2 Pass	0.11%	0.08%	0 14%							В		7.5%		5.0%	10.0%
H	2 Pass	0.1170	0.0076	0.14%							С		12.5%	1	0.0%	15.0%
H	J Pass	0.18%	0.14%	0.22%							D		17.5%	1	5.0%	20.0%
H	4 Pass	0.28%	0.22%	0.34%							E		22.5%	2	20.0%	25.0%
L	5 Pass										LGD					30.0%
L	6 Pass						Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		40.0%
L	7 Pass						2.5%	7.5%	12.5%	17.5%	22.5%	27.5%	35.0%	45.0%	75.0%	50.0%
L	8 Pass	- F	1		Pass	0.00%	2.3/0	0.005%	0.0000/	0.0440/	22.3 /0	21.3/0	0.0000/	45.0%	1 3.0 %	100.0%
L	9 Pass		2		Pass	0.06%	0.002%	0.005%	0.008%	0.011%	0.014%	0.017%	0.022%	0.028%	0.046%	
	10 Pass		2		Fass Daar	0.11%	0.003%	0.008%	0.014%	0.020%	0.025%	0.031%	0.039%	0.051%	0.084%	
	11 Spec Mtn		3		Pass	0.18%	0.004%	0.013%	0.022%	0.031%	0.040%	0.048%	0.062%	0.079%	0.132%	
L	12 SubStd Acr		4		Pass	0.28%	0.007%	0.021%	0.034%	0.048%	0.062%	0.076%	0.096%	0.124%	0.206%	
	13 SubStd Nor	n	5		Pass	0.43%	0.011%	0.032%	0.054%	0.075%	0.097%	0.118%	0.151%	0.194%	0.323%	
	14 Doubtful		6		Pass	0.67%	0.017%	0.051%	0.084%	0.118%	0.152%	0.185%	0.236%	0.303%	0.505%	
I	15 Loss		7		Pass	1.05%	0.026%	0.079%	0.132%	0.184%	0.237%	0.290%	0.369%	0.474%	0.790%	
5		- 6	8		Pass	1.65%	0.041%	0.124%	0.206%	0.289%	0.371%	0.454%	0.577%	0.742%	1.237%	
			9		Pass	2.58%	0.065%	0.194%	0.323%	0.452%	0.581%	0.710%	0.903%	1.161%	1.936%	
			10		Watch	4.04%	0.101%	0.303%	0.505%	0.707%	0.909%	1.111%	1.413%	1.817%	3.029%	
			11		Special Mention	6.32%	0.158%	0.474%	0.790%	1.106%	1.422%	1.738%	2.212%	2.844%	4.739%	
			12	Su	bstandard (Accru)	13.83%	0 346%	1 037%	1 729%	2 420%	3 111%	3 803%	4 840%	6 223%	10 371%	
			13	Subs	tandard (Non-Accru)	27.09%	0.677%	2.032%	3.386%	4.741%	6.095%	7.450%	9.482%	12,191%	20.318%	
			14		Doubtful	50.00%	1.250%	3.750%	6.250%	8.750%	11.250%	13.750%	17.500%	22.500%	37.500%	
			15		Loss	100.00%	2.500%	7.500%	12.500%	17.500%	22.500%	27.500%	35.000%	45.000%	75.000%	

What are the benefits of DRR?

Accurate, granular, transparent approach that supports business needs and satisfies regulatory expectations



- More accurate
- More confidence around credit risk ratings, reducing capital buffers and reserves due to uncertainty
- Supports more consistent and competitive pricing
- » More granular
 - Improve differentiation among borrowers and loans via more rating grades
 - Separate PD and LGD ratings create a rating grade matrix (PD x LGD)
- » Untangle risk drivers—what drives default does not explain recovery
 - Identify whether the bank targeted weaker borrowers and/or poorly structured loans during periods of elevated provisions
 - Informs most efficient/appropriate credit protections in future deal structuring
- » Meet regulatory expectations
 - Regulators increasingly expect DRR for >\$10bn banks



Leveraging Risk Ratings in CECL Process

In essence, CECL looks to improving measurement and reporting of expected credit losses

Institutions need to measure and record immediately expected credit losses (ECL) over the life of their financial assets reported on an amortized cost basis, on a collective basis, reflecting:

- 1) Past events, including historical experience
- 2) Current conditions
- 3) Reasonable and supportable forecasts

If it effects the collectability of the reported amount, it should be considered!

- » Although "reasonable and supportable forecasts" are required, an entity <u>will not</u> need to create an economic forecast over the entire contractual life of long-dated financial assets
- » Institutions will have significant discretion over how they measure expected credit losses
- » ECL recorded at origination and updated at subsequent reporting dates

Illustration of the CECL quantification process



Most common ECL estimation methodologies for commercial portfolios

Loss Rate	 » Apply a historic loss rate percentage, by segment (e.g. rating, industry, etc.) » Can be applied as a cumulative rate or as a term structure » Includes: Average charge-off method, static pool analysis, vintage analysis, WARM method
Rating Migration	 » Compute percentages of assets that will "migrate" to a more severe risk rating or delinquency status » Migration-rate percentages are applied to the balance in each category to estimate amount that will migrate to the next category » Aggregate total migration for each category to determine the allowance
PD/LGD (DCF or Non-DCF)	 » Separates default and recovery risk, providing greater insight into the ECL estimate » Can support other business processes such as loan pricing, limit setting, and risk monitoring » Includes Basel models, granular stress testing models, and internal Dual Risk Ratings

Statistical and/or qualitative analysis can be applied to:

- 1. Reflect current conditions
- 2. Incorporate reasonable and supportable forecasts
- 3. Account for life-time loss

Incorporating forward-looking information



Relevant risk measures for internal ratings include Probability of Default (PD), Loss Given Default (LGD), and Loss Rate (LR)

MOODY'S ANALYTICS

Direct Forecast of PD/LGD/Loss Rate

Example: Moody's Analytics C&I Loss Rate Model

» Models <u>lifetime loss rate</u> as a function of loan/pool characteristics as well as macroeconomic scenarios

R Lifetime Loss Rate = $F_1(Age Percentage) + F_2(Credit Spread at Origination) + F_3(Original Loan Size) + F_4(Regulatory Rating Dummy) + F_5(Loan Type Dummy Variables) + F_6(Sector dummy Variables) + F_7(Transformed Macro Variables)$

- **Age Percentage**: Defined as: (As of Date- Origination Date)/(Maturity Date-Origination Date)
- **Credit Spread at Origination**: Origination coupon rate USD 3Yr Swap rate
- **Original Loan Size**: log10(Original balance (Term Loan)/Commitment (Line, Revolver))
- Regulatory Rating: "Pass", "Watch", "OLEM", "Substandard". "Pass" is the baseline
- **Loan Type**: "Line", "Revolver", "Term Loan". "Term Loan" is the baseline
- **Industry Sectors**: 13 Sectors, 'Unassigned' is the baseline
- Macroeconomic Variables (Transformed):
 - 1. Unemployment rate YoY change next 8 quarter moving average
 - 2. Baa Spread next 6 quarter moving average

Embedded internal ratings

Embedded macro variables

Ratings and CECL in Times of Stress 18

Change in PD/LGD/Loss Rate

Example: Moody's Analytics GCorr Macro

- » Leverages covariance matrix between systematics factors and macroeconomic variables
- » PD: "Starting" (Unconditional) probability of default Internal ratings can be used to derive starting point*
- » **RSQ**: Sensitivity of a firm's asset return to it's country and industry systematic factor
 - Similar to the beta in the CAPM model
- » β_{MV} : Sensitivity of the country and industry systematic factor to the macrovariables
 - Similar to the coefficients from regressing the systematic factors onto the macro variables
- » p^2 : Explanatory power of the macroeconomic variables
 - Similar to the R2 of regressing the systematics factors on the macrovariables
- » f(MV): Macrovariable scenario, transformed into standard shocks

^{*} May require conversion from through-the-cycle (TTC) to Point-in-Time (PIT)

Change in PD/LGD/Loss Rate Risk Drivers

Example: Moody's Analytics Commercial Mortgage Metrics (CMM)

- » CMM links macro variables to real estate variables that impact the ultimate risk drivers: LTV and DSCR
- » The baseline relationship between the model implied and the internal rating-implied risk can be used to "calibrate" all other scenario results



Change in Risk Measure's Drivers (Cont.)

Example: Moody's Analytics Commercial Mortgage Metrics (CMM)

Ratings Summary					
		Qua)	🔥 Alerts	
Total Risk EDF: 25.02	2 % Ar	nnualized Total Risk E	DF: 4.69 %	Score: 25	Grade: 16
CMM Input Info	0.00	16.25 65.00		Property:	N Mopac Expwy
Sponsor Characteristics	0.00	26.53 30.93	Pr	operty Type: Off	fice
Tenant Characteristics	0.00	4.07 4.07		Loan Name:	3247475 - 1
Overlay Score	0.00	87.42 100.00) O	verlay Grade	4
Final Score: 40	Grade	: 13	Final PD	(1Yr): 2.27557	%
Override Reason		\checkmark	Grade 14	V Override F	PD 3.23180 %
Override Authorized	Authorized By			🗟 Apply 🞯 🛛 Ade	d Note ជ Clear

Scaling Ratio	0.6897
Rating Implied Annual PD	3.23%
CMM Annualized PD	4.69%

Used as a linear scalar for the entire term structure

MOODY'S ANALYTICS



Am I Double Counting?

Am I Double Counting?

Considered in Internal Ratings

» How up to date are internal ratings?

It Depends!

» What current conditions are being captured in the ratings process?

Ratings are better for capturing idiosyncratic, borrower-specific information

CECL models are better for capturing systematic effects by segments (e.g. industry)

Management overlays should capture other effects omitted in ratings and CECL models



Key Takeaways



Dual Risk Ratings advance internal rating practices by providing more granularity, consistency, and separation of default and recovery risk.



CECL looks to improve measurement and reporting of expected credit losses, by incorporating historical, current, and forward-looking information, through life of loan.



CECL models can leverage internal ratings as an input variable, as the variable to shock, or for output calibration.



Ratings are better for capturing idiosyncratic, borrower-specific information. CECL models are better for capturing systematic effects by segments. Management overlays can capture other effects omitted in ratings and CECL models.







RATINGS AND CECL IN TIMES OF STRESS





Thank You

RATINGS AND CECL IN TIMES OF STRESS

MOODY'S ANALYTICS



Jan Larsen Jan.Larsen@moodys.com 212.553.4536 Emil Lopez Emil.Lopez@moodys.com 212.553.4311

moodysanalytics.com

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE. INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS. PRODUCTS. SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY. "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY, CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT, MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES, MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES, MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS. ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE,

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <u>www.moodys.com</u> under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 338569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings). No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.