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The Essence of Risk Based Pricing

• It is at the core of the broad market-based economic policy thrust in place from the early 1990s.
  • Markets determine prices based on demand and supply dynamics;
  • Regulatory framework ensures that price determination is underpinned by competition dynamics that do not disadvantage consumers:

• Prices reveal market conduct.
  • The setting up of agencies such as Competition Authority of Kenya (CAK) in 2010 is informed by the need to promote and protect “effective competition in markets and preventing misleading market conduct”.
  • The subject of market power and competition generally, and market dominance and competition in particular is at the core of price setting behavior – this was the motivation of a two-phased study by CAK in 2014 on the Kenyan banking industry (see report: https://www.cak.go.ke/sites/default/files/Banking%20Sector%20Phase%20I%20Market%20Inquiry-min.pdf)

• Findings:
  (a) Kenyan banking industry is generally competitive
  (b) The widely held concern that high lending rates and high interest spread are as a result of the market power of dominant banks has not been substantiated by this study and is probably misplaced.
  (c) The regulation of lending rates, or interest rate spread is thus not justified by competitive concerns or the market structure.
Credit Pricing – The Popular Implicit Assumption

• The interest rate capping law of August 2016 that lasted until November 2019:
  • Implicitly assumed that cost is the more binding constraint than access; indeed assumed that the two aspects can be disentangled – ‘manage the cost and access will take care of itself’,
  • Implicitly embeds as assumption of uniformity of the risks of borrowers,
  • Implicitly assumed away the linkages between the various financial markets:
    • The link between the money market (whose price is regulated through the capping) and the foreign exchange market (freely floating), and the two markets to the equities market.
  • Implicitly ignored the adverse implications on the CBK’s monetary policy conduct, oblivious of the fact that the monetary policy framework is price-based (not quantity based).
The Banking Industry Charter

• Effective from 1st September 2018.
• Risk-based pricing is one of the pillars.
• The others are:
  • Adoption of customer-centric business models by banks;
  • Enhanced transparency and information disclosure; (e.g. the APR);
  • Entrenching an ethical culture in banks – doing the right thing.
• The intention of the charter:
  • Promote fairness in lending;
  • Embed transparency in business practices;
  • Promote financial access (enhanced usage);
  • Promote financial literacy.
Perspectives on Risks – Returns Pricing Mechanism in the Kenya

• Conventionally, a positive risk-return relationship is to be expected. However, in Kenya the relationship between bank returns and risk indicate mixed results (based on a KBA study published in 2017 (working Paper No 21):- https://www.kba.co.ke/downloads/Working%20Paper%2021.pdf).
  • When risk is measured as the volatility of returns, it has a positive and significant effect on commercial banks’ returns.
  • When risk is measured as the moving average probability of default, risk has a negative though insignificant effect on bank returns.

• It would be expected that sectors that exhibit relatively higher risk profiles attract premiums on the respective lending rates but this doesn’t seem to be the case.

• Past returns have a significant and positive effect on the returns that a bank will receive in the current period.
  • This suggests that banks may be relying on previous returns to determine the price of their loans.

• Sectoral credit expansion affected by returns and risk. But, while the pricing effect is not as sensitive to the risk profile of the respective sectors, credit allocation to the sectors is highly risk sensitive.

• If risk assessment leans more to credit allocation than to risk pricing, and credit information sharing (CIS) mechanism leans more to credit decision than credit pricing, then the market needs to start developing systems of transiting to where pricing meaningfully reveals business conduct.
Traditional Credit Pricing Methodology

Cost + Profit Approach

Borrower
- Financials
- Business Plans
- Management
- Industry trends
- ...

Facility
- Base + Spread:
  - Tenor
  - Security
  - Funding / Capital Costs
  - Overhead
  - Fees
  - Competition
  - ...

Final Price
Traditional vs Risk Based Credit Pricing

Traditional Pricing overcharges customers in higher rating grades. Will eventually lose these customers to competition. Will win business, but will earn below the hurdle rate.
Risk-Based Loan Pricing Accounts for Volatility

**Funding Costs:**
- Represents the interest expense related to the monthly average volume of deposits and other funds reported to the regulator on a monthly basis.

**Operating Costs:**
- Includes indirect cost/overheads and statutory costs such as deposit insurance premium, case reserve requirements, opportunity cost of holding liquid assets in excess of minimum requirements, and the cost of holding non-earning assets (Cost ratio * Income).

**Expected Loss:**
- The expected loss that institution will suffer. The product of Probability of Default, Loss Given Default, and Exposure of Default.

**Capital Cost:**
- The product of hurdle rate and capital. Capital is the unexpected loss that an institution may suffer.

**Additional Margin:**
- Represents the target return on equity, i.e. the new rate of return expected by shareholders. Guided by economic fundamentals and the long term sustainability of the institution.

**Funding Costs:**
- Represents the interest expense related to the monthly average volume of deposits and other funds reported to the regulator on a monthly basis.
Metrics for Performance and Value

Accounting for Risk in Loan Pricing

**ROA**  
**Return on Assets**  
Net Income (after LL provision) / Book Value of Asset  
Used historically to measure investment performance, does not account for risk.

**ROE**  
**Return on Equity**  
Net Income (after LL provision) / Equity Capital  
Hard to determine it for each loan / business line, does not account for risk.

**EVA**  
**Economic Value Added**  
Expected Return – Cost of Capital  
Only excess return over cost of capital is considered; risk is not considered.

**RORAC**  
**Return on Risk Adjusted Capital**  
Total Return / Allocated Capital  
Compares Gross Return to Risk Capital. Can be used to choose between investments with similar return / cost allocation, but different risk profile.

**RAROC**  
**Risk Adjusted Return on Capital**  
Most widely used measure, supports risk-return trade-off and bank-level portfolio optimization. Used to choose between alternative investments as it considers both risk and return.
Impact of IFRS 9 on Loan Pricing

Risk-Reward Trade off

» Expected Losses/Returns only tell half the story

» Risk in terms of variance or volatility of returns is a key parameter in asset allocation and pricing decisions

» Introduction of IFRS9 brings returns volatility in center stage

» Stage allocation criteria, the state of the credit cycle and the macroeconomic outlook are all expected to impact on the losses volatility
Best Practice Requires Consistent Measurements

Essential to Manage Strategy, Performance and Risk

- Common measurement standard leading to common language
- Common risk 'currency', interpretation as capital
Risk Based Loan Pricing

Pieces of the Puzzle
Different requirements of the customer and bank stakeholders

Risk Manager
What is the risk grade of this customer?

Does this exposure meet bank’s strategy?

Approvers / Committees
Does it meet the bank’s compliance policy?

Credit Compliance
What is the RAROC for this exposure?

Customer
What amount? What price? What terms?

Bank
What is my performance, how much will I earn?

Marketing / Relationship Manager
Risk Based Pricing Framework
Inform all the stakeholders well in time

This customer’s in a high risk grade

Risk Manager

I know upfront the amount, price and terms I can get!!

Customer

Exposure meets bank’s risk appetite, but not the hurdle rate

Approvers / Committees

My portfolio return is just above 2%. Portfolio risk is 7%. Need to increase return / reduce risk

Marketing / Relationship Manager

Exposure meets the risk appetite policy

Credit Compliance

RAROC is 15%

Bank

Portfolio risk is 7%. Need to increase return / reduce risk

Marketing / Relationship Manager

My portfolio return is just above 2%. Portfolio risk is 7%. Need to increase return / reduce risk

Marketing / Relationship Manager

RAROC is 15%

Bank

Risk Based Loan Pricing

MOODY’S ANALYTICS
Key metrics Needed for Pricing

**Rating and Probability of Default**
- Centralized repository of credit risk rating information
- Ability to capture both qualitative and quantitative information
- Embedded PD Models

**Loss Given Default (LGD)**
- Calculate Basel LGD using Collateral eligibility and regulatory haircuts
- Flexibility to use internal models

**Expected Loss**
- Calculate Expected Loss as a function of PD, LGD and EAD

**Facility and Collateral Structuring**
- Structure facilities with complex limit trees
- Add and assign collaterals to facilities
- Allocate collaterals optimally

**EAD Calculation**
- Import exposures from core systems periodically
- Apply Basel CCFs for to undrawn and off balance sheet facilities
- Calculate EAD

**Unexpected Loss / Capital**
- Calculate Basel IRB Capital Charge using the ASRF formula OR
- Economic Capital
Risk Grading

In-depth Assessment of Borrower Health

» Hierarchical grade distribution
» Configurable automated model selection
» Support for multiple scenario’s including what-if for stress assessment
» Extended override classifications
» Optional business process management control
» Platform for rapid deployment
» Pre-built Scorecards or Bank-Specific Internal Rating Models
» RiskCalc based Scorecard
» Expert Judgement Scorecards
» Bank designed or 3rd Party scorecards
Facility and Collateral Structuring

» Facility Structuring
  – Create Facilities and Facility Trees
  – Import Facilities from Core Banking or other systems
  – Import exposures from core banking and booking systems

» Collateral Management
  – Create or import collaterals
  – N to N Allocation of collaterals using pro-rata allocation or an optimized algorithm
Loss Given Default Analysis

» LGD analysis natively integrated with deal structuring functionality
» Provides a configurable framework to create products, collateral and guarantees
» Leverages Model Authoring Platform to enable easier configuration of client LGD models
» Incorporates calculation logic for system run calculations
» Builds business rules for field validations
EAD Calculation

Based on the imported utilization of existing limits and the expected utilization level of proposed facilities
Expected Loss and Capital Calculation

» Expected Loss is a function of PD, LGD and EAD

» For capital computation, the Basel Capital Formula can be applied
Risk Adjusted Performance Management
Achievable at Origination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CreditLens™</th>
<th>RAROC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td>290,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expected Loss:</strong></td>
<td>36,114.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit Risk Adjusted Capital:</strong></td>
<td>677,811.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Risk Adjusted Capital:</strong></td>
<td>721,386.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aggregated RAROC:</strong></td>
<td>31.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Return For RAROC:</strong></td>
<td>108,207.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus:</strong></td>
<td>121,677.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treasury Funding Cost:</strong></td>
<td>24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk Adjusted Return:</strong></td>
<td>229,885.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational Risk Capital:</strong></td>
<td>43,575.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main elements in the calculation of the RAROC framework are:
1. Revenues
2. Credit risk (Expected Loss)
3. Cost of funds
4. Operating costs
5. Capital charge
6. Concentration premium

The expected risk adjusted return on capital and the hurdle RAROC are shown at a facility level here.
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